

JAN. 20. 2006 3:26PM

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS | PATENT & TRADE-MARK AGENTS

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 20 2006

NO. 7297 P. 1

Facsimile: 416.863.2653
Box 25, Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario, Canada
M5L 1A9

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER PAGE:

3

DATE: January 20, 2006

TO: (Name, Company, City & Country)

Kaveh Abrishamkar, United States Patent and
Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA, USA

FACSIMILE:

571-273-8300

TELEPHONE:

571-272-3786

FROM: John R. S. Orange

BUSINESS PHONE:

416-863-3164

SECRETARY PHONE:

416-863-4340

MESSAGE:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 10/058,213

Applicant: LAMBERT, Robert J.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ORIGINALS SENT BY: (check one)

☐ MAIL

☐ TDX

☐ NOT SENT

☐

(please specify)

ANY PROBLEMS? Please contact your Fax Operator or the Blakes Fax Operator at 416.863.3074

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE:

This message is **CONFIDENTIAL** and is legally privileged. It is intended only for the person(s) or organization(s) named above and any other use or disclosure is strictly forbidden. If this message is received by anyone else, please notify us at once by telephone and return the original by mail to the above address. Thank You.

FILE REFERENCE:

67539/427

TIME IN:

21492207.1

TIME SENT:

OPERATOR:

JAN 20 2006

Doc Code:

PTOL-413A (03-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2005. OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/058,213 First Named Applicant: LAMBERT, Robert J.
 Examiner: Kaveh Abrishamkhan Art Unit: 2131 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:
 (1) John Orange (2) Dr. Scott Vanstone
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 01-24-2006 Proposed Time: 2:00 (AM/PM) (PM)

Type of Interview Requested:
 (1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO
 If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Ref., Obj., etc.)	Claims / Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>35 USC 112</u>	<u>2-3</u>	<u>NA</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>35 USC 103(a)</u>	_____	<u>Vanstone, Clapp</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

(1) Support for claims 2-3 found at Fig. 5, page 7, lines 11-22. Expressions "third exponent" and "fourth exponent" used since "first" and "second" are used in claim 1 and each correspondent computes two exponents as shown in disclosure. Thus, enablement requirement satisfied. (see attached)

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant / Applicant's Representative Signature

John R.S. Orange

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

29,725

Registration Number, if applicable

Examiner / SPE Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to be (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.16. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Your will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-2199 and select option 2.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Appln No: 10/058,213
Applicant: LAMBERT, Robert J.
Page 2 of 2

**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form
Continuation Sheet**

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

(2) Inventors have identified that by reorganizing terms in MQV scheme (Vanstone), simultaneous exponentiation can be used. Vanstone is silent regarding simultaneous exponentiation. Clapp teaches modular exponentiation, is silent regarding MQV. Even if, for argument's sake, Clapp did teach simultaneous exponentiation, it would have no utility to MQV, since Clapp has not identified the reorganization of terms required by claim 1. Thus there is no motivation to use Clapp.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

21492198.1